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The EU (Old World) is ferociously protecting its Geographical Indications, and even wants to clawback geographical names that have become generic, in the name of authenticity and rural development. The U.S., Australia, New Zealand, South Africa and some South American countries (New World) want to protect geographical names via the existing trademark system which leaves more room for innovation. Both the Old and New World clash in China, where there is a “gold rush” to export as much wine as possible. This two hour course is looking at the approaches of the protection of geographical names in the respective systems and on the strategies the vintners use to exclude each other in China.

This course will cover the followings:

- Introduction into the concept of Geographical Indications
- Old World approach: Appellations of Origin
- New World approach: Protection of Geographical Indications via Trademarks
- Lisbon Agreement
- EU’s PDO/PGI system
- TRIPS GI provisions
- Geneva Act of the Lisbon Agreement
- China’s GI system
- EU - China Bilateral Agreement
- Prosecco as a case study
- Napa Valley v Screw Kappa Napa
- World Heritage Site: Champagne’s strategy
- Using certification and collective marks to protect Geographical Indications
- Conclusions

Further readings:

- Clash of the Old and the New World of Wine in China: A Case Study of Competition, Innovation and Prosecco